UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JANE DOE, et al.

Civil Action No.: 1:20 – CV – 0840 (BKS/CFH)

Plaintiffs,

vs.

HOWARD ZUCKER, et al.

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF K.W. IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

A.M., pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 declares under penalty of perjury as follows:

- 1. I am the parent of two medically fragile children whose physicians have determined cannot be vaccinated due to the risk of harm.
- 2. I write this affidavit in support of the Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction and as a potential class member in this suit.
- 3. In August 2019, we submitted medical exemptions for our children to a private Catholic school in New York State. I was aware that there were schools that were arbitrarily overruling medical exemptions in the district. I asked the school to provide confirmation about whether the exemptions were accepted or not before I signed a contract or paid tuition.
- 4. The school nurse reviewed the exemptions and the school principal promptly let me know that the exemptions were accepted. In reliance, I signed the contract and paid tuition and my children started school.

DECLARATION OF K.W. IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION -

- 5. In October 2019, without my permission or knowledge, the school forwarded my children's medical exemption to the public school's district consulting physician.
- 6. At the end of October, the head of our school told me that our children's medical exemption was going to be overruled because the public school's doctor did not think it met the legal criteria for the new health department regulations issued in August. They did not provide any further detail about why. I was given a week to subject my children to vaccines that their physician felt could endanger them or to leave school without reimbursement for the tuition paid.
- 7. My exemption was certified by a physician licensed to practice in New York and familiar with my children who agreed that immunization would put them at risk.
- 8. Tremendous stressful back and forth ensued. The Catholic school admitted that they had accepted the exemption in August. The head of the school said that the reason that she decided to revisit the issue was that she'd been given guidance that she could be fined \$2,000 a day if the department of health disagreed that the children met the legal criteria set forth in the new regulations, and she could not risk that.
- 9. I consulted a second physician licensed to practice in New York who concurred that it was not safe to immunize my children. On or about November 3, 2019, I asked the school if they would allow my children more time to stay in school so that the second physician could write a corroborating medical exemption for them. This request was declined. My children were forced to leave school on November 4th.

DECLARATION OF K.W. IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION - 2